

## Appendix

**Table A7.1: Types of Information Required and Type of Information Provided to those Requesting it, Cohorts 1 and 2, by Region of Birth (per cent)**

	Cohort	English Speaking Countries	Other European Countries	Asian Countries	Other Countries	Total
<i>Per cent who Required Information on:</i>						
(a) Job Prospects	1	38	41	46	44	43
	2	41	40	43	36	41
Significance <sup>1</sup>		n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	***	*
(b) Recognition of Qualifications	1	34	35	32	31	33
	2	38	28	31	30	32
Significance <sup>1</sup>		n.s.	*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
(c) Child's Educational Opportunities	1	9	20	27	21	21
	2	13	19	22	28	21
Significance <sup>1</sup>		*	n.s.	***	**	n.s.
<i>Per cent given information out of those who required it<sup>^</sup></i>						
(a) Job Prospects	1	81	77	64	69	70
	2	90	84	77	77	80
Significance <sup>1</sup>		**	*	***	*	***
(b) Recognition of Qualifications	1	72	60	71	67	69
	2	81	74	74	82	77
Significance <sup>1</sup>		**	**	n.s.	***	***
(c) Child's Educational Opportunities	1	61	71	69	76	70
	2	68	64	75	71	72
Significance <sup>1</sup>		n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

*Note:* (1) Pearson Chi-square test, n.v. = test not valid, n.s. = not significant, \* = probability < 0.05, \*\*\* = probability < 0.001, + Number of observations very small (n < 5 in weighted table).

(2) Questions in this section were asked only of the Primary Applicant (not the migrating unit spouse).

(^) Percentages based only on those respondents who actually reported requiring information about each topic.

**Table A7.2: Types of Information Required and Type of Information Provided to those Requesting it, Cohorts 1 and 2, by English Proficiency (per cent)**

	Cohort	English Only or Best	English well/very well and Other Language	English not well/not at all and Other Language	Total
<i>Per cent who Required Information on:</i>					
(a) Job Prospects	1	42	47	42	43
	2	44	47	30	41
Significance <sup>1</sup>		n.s.	n.s.	***	*
(b) Recognition of Qualifications	1	37	43	20	33
	2	41	37	14	32
Significance <sup>1</sup>		*	**	***	n.s.
(c) Child's Educational Opportunities	1	16	22	26	21
	2	21	22	21	21
Significance <sup>1</sup>		***	n.s.	**	n.s.
<i>Per cent given information out of those who required it<sup>^</sup></i>					
(a) Job Prospects	1	74	71	65	70
	2	85	81	71	80
Significance <sup>1</sup>		***	***	n.s.	***
(b) Recognition of Qualifications	1	79	68	56	69
	2	83	77	56	77
Significance <sup>1</sup>		n.s.	***	n.s.	***
(c) Child's Educational Opportunities	1	71	67	71	70
	2	70	73	72	72
Significance <sup>1</sup>		n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

Note: (1) Pearson Chi-square test, n.v. = test not valid, n.s. = not significant, \* = probability < 0.05, \*\*\* = probability < 0.001, + Number of observations very small (n < 5 in weighted table).

(2) Questions in this section were asked only of the Primary Applicant (not the migrating unit spouse).

(^) Percentages based only on those respondents who actually reported requiring information about each topic.

**Table A7.3: Main Source from which Migrants Received Required Information on Job Prospects, Cohorts 1 and 2, by Region of Birth (per cent)**

Sources of Received Information	Cohort	English Speaking Countries	Other European Countries	Asian Countries	Other Countries	Total
Sponsor/Employer	1	13	19	20	20	18
	2	9	14	15	12	13
Friends/relatives in Australia or who have visited Australia	1	48	52	51	48	50
	2	27	42	31	44	34
Australian Government Department <sup>1</sup>	1	9	21	15	18	15
	2	5	17	22	18	17
Other <sup>2,3</sup>	1	30	8	15	13	16
	2	59	27	32	27	36
Significance <sup>4</sup>		***	***	***	***	***

Note: (1) 'Australian Government Department' includes Federal Government Department, State Government Department, 'Welcome to Australia' kit and other information, and DIMIA web pages.

(2) 'Other' includes those in Cohort 2 who marked 'General Internet' as well as 'Other', because 'General Internet' was not an option in Cohort 1. 'General Internet' accounts for 18% of the responses in 'Other' for Cohort 2.

(3) 'Other' for both cohorts contains the original other section plus 'Community/Religious Organisations', 'Media', 'Migration/Travel agents', 'Educational Institution', 'Trade Unions', 'Banks/Financial Institutions', and 'Refugee Agency', because the percentage reporting these sources was very small ( $n < 5$ ).

(4) Pearson Chi-square test, n.v. = test not valid, n.s. = not significant, \* = probability  $< 0.05$ , \*\*\* = probability  $< 0.001$ , + Number of observations very small ( $n < 5$  in weighted table).

(5) Percentages based only on those respondents who actually received information about 'Job Prospects'.

(6) Questions in this section were asked only of the Primary Applicant (not the migrating unit spouse)

**Table A7.4: Main Source from which Migrants Received Required Information on Job Prospects, Cohorts 1 and 2, by English Proficiency (per cent)**

Sources of Received Information	Cohort	English Only or Best	English well/very well and Other Language	English not well/not at all and Other Language	Total
Sponsor/Employer	1	13	16	27	18
	2	8	14	24	13
Friends/relatives in Australia or who have visited Australia	1	47	50	52	50
	2	31	31	47	34
Australian Government Department <sup>1</sup>	1	12	19	15	15
	2	12	21	20	17
Other <sup>2,3</sup>	1	28	15	6	16
	2	50	34	8	36
Significance <sup>4</sup>		***	***	n.s.	***

Note: (1) 'Australian Government Department' includes Federal Government Department, State Government Department, 'Welcome to Australia' kit and other information, and DIMIA web pages.

(2) 'Other' includes those in Cohort 2 who marked 'General Internet' as well as 'Other', because 'General Internet' was not an option in Cohort 1. 'General Internet' accounts for 18% of the responses in 'Other' for Cohort 2.

(3) 'Other' for both cohorts contains the original other section plus 'Community/Religious Organisations', 'Media', 'Migration/Travel agents', 'Educational Institution', 'Trade Unions', 'Banks/Financial Institutions', and 'Refugee Agency', because the percentage reporting these sources was very small ( $n < 5$ ).

(4) Pearson Chi-square test, n.v. = test not valid, n.s. = not significant, \* = probability  $< 0.05$ , \*\*\* = probability  $< 0.001$ , + Number of observations very small ( $n < 5$  in weighted table).

(5) Percentages based only on those respondents who actually received information about 'Job Prospects'.

(6) Questions in this section were asked only of the Primary Applicant (not the migrating unit spouse)

**Table A7.5: Rated Helpfulness of Information on Job Prospects Received, Cohorts 1 and 2, by Region of Birth (per cent)**

Helpfulness of job prospect information for those who received it	Cohort	English Speaking Countries	Other European Countries	Asian Countries	Other Countries	Total
Very Helpful	1	25	21	27	24	25
	2	19	17	22	19	20
Helpful	1	52	59	58	57	57
	2	58	56	58	56	57
Neither helpful or unhelpful	1	15	16	13	18	15
	2	18	21	14	20	17
Unhelpful	1	7	4	2	+	3
	2	6	6	7	6	6
Significance <sup>1</sup>		n.s.	n.s.	***	*	***

Note: (1) Pearson Chi-square test, n.v. = test not valid, n.s. = not significant, \* = probability < 0.05, \*\*\* = probability < 0.001, + Number of observations very small (n < 5 in weighted table).

(2) Percentages based only on those respondents who actually received information about 'Job Prospects'.

(3) Questions in this section were asked only of the Primary Applicant (not the migrating unit spouse)

**Table A7.6: Rated Helpfulness of Information on Job Prospects Received, Cohorts 1 and 2, by English Proficiency (per cent)**

Helpfulness of job prospect information for those who received it	Cohort	English Only or Best	English well/very well and Other Language	English not well/not at all and Other Language	Total
Very Helpful	1	26	19	23	25
	2	17	24	25	20
Helpful	1	53	59	60	57
	2	58	51	61	57
Neither helpful or unhelpful	1	16	20	15	15
	2	19	18	11	17
Unhelpful	1	5	+	2	3
	2	7	7	3	6
Significance <sup>1</sup>		**	n.s.	n.s.	***

Note: (1) Pearson Chi-square test, n.v. = test not valid, n.s. = not significant, \* = probability < 0.05, \*\*\* = probability < 0.001, + Number of observations very small (n < 5 in weighted table).

(2) Percentages based only on those respondents who actually received information about 'Job Prospects'.

(3) Questions in this section were asked only of the Primary Applicant (not the migrating unit spouse)

**Table A7.7: Sources from which Migrants Received Required Information, Cohort 2 (per cent)**

<b>Source of Required Information on each Topic</b>	<b>Recognition of Qualifications</b>	<b>Children's Educational Opportunities</b>
Sponsor/Employer	9	15
Friends/Relatives	14	50
Australian Government Department <sup>1</sup>	43	19
General Internet	3	6
Other <sup>2</sup>	31	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>

Notes: (1) 'Australian Government Department' includes Federal Government Department, State Government Department, and DIMIA web pages.

(2) 'Other' for both cohorts contains the original other section plus 'Community/Religious Organisations', 'Media', 'Migration/Travel Agents', 'Educational Institution', 'Trade Unions', 'Banks/Financial Institutions', and 'Refugee Agency', because the percentage reporting these sources was very small ( $n < 5$ ).

(3) Percentages based only on those respondents who actually required information about these topics.